



Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee

Time and Date

10.00 am on Wednesday, 22nd November, 2017

Place

Committee Room 3 - Council House

Public Business**1. Apologies and Substitutions****2. Declarations of Interest****3. Minutes** (Pages 5 - 10)

(a) To agree the minutes of the previous meeting held on 18th October, 2017

(b) Matters Arising

4. Exclusion of Press and Public

To consider whether to exclude the press and public for the item of private business for the reasons shown in the report.

5. Student Accommodation and University City Development (Pages 11 - 16)

Briefing Note of the Deputy Chief Executive (Place)

Councillors Bigham and Thomas, Cabinet Member and Deputy Cabinet Member for Community Development and Councillors O'Boyle and Welsh, Cabinet Member and Deputy Cabinet Member for Jobs and Regeneration have been invited to the meeting for the consideration of this item along with Nigel Alcock, Coventry University and James Breckon, Warwick University.

6. Preventative Support - Adult Services (Pages 17 - 38)

Report of the Deputy Chief Executive (People)

Councillor Abbott, Cabinet Member for Adult Services, has been invited to the meeting for the consideration of this item

7. **West Midlands Combined Authority Overview and Scrutiny Feedback**

Councillors R Brown and J Mutton, the Council's representatives on the West Midlands Combined Authority Overview and Scrutiny Committee will report at the meeting

8. **Outstanding Issues**

All Outstanding Issues have been included in the Committee's Work Programme

9. **Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee Work Programme 2017/2018** (Pages 39 - 44)

Report of the Scrutiny Co-ordinator

10. **Any Other Items of Public Business**

Any other items of public business which the Chair decides to take as a matter of urgency because of the special circumstances involved.

Private Business

11. **Preventative Support - Adult Services** (Pages 45 - 68)

Report of the Deputy Chief Executive (People)

(Listing Officer: P Fahy, Telephone 7683 3555)

Councillor Abbott, Cabinet Member for Adult Services, has been invited to the meeting for the consideration of this item

12. **Any Other Items of Private Business**

Any other items of private business which the Chair decides to take as a matter of urgency because of the special circumstances involved

Martin Yardley, Deputy Chief Executive (Place), Council House Coventry

Tuesday, 14 November 2017

Notes:1) The person to contact about the agenda and documents for this meeting is Suzanne Bennett. Democratic Services, Council House, Coventry, telephone 7683 3072, alternatively E-mail: suzanne.bennett@coventry.gov.uk/liz.knight@coventry.gov.uk

2) Council Members who are not able to attend the meeting should notify Suzanne Bennett no later than 9.00 a.m. on the day of the meeting, giving their reasons for absence and the name of the Council Member (if any) who will be attending the meeting as their substitute.

- 3) Scrutiny Board Members who have an interest in any report referred to this meeting, but who are not Members of this Committee, have been invited to notify the Chair by 12 noon on the day before the meeting that they wish to speak on a particular item. The Member must indicate to the Chair their reason for wishing to speak and the issue(s) they wish to raise.

Membership: Councillors N Akhtar, A Andrews, R Brown (Chair), J Clifford (Deputy Chair), D Gannon, J McNicholas, M Mutton, G Ridley and R Singh

By invitation Councillors F Abbott, L Bigham, J Mutton, J O'Boyle, C Thomas and D Welsh

Please note: a hearing loop is available in the committee rooms

If you require a British Sign Language interpreter for this meeting
OR if you would like this information in another format or
language please contact us.

**Suzanne Bennett/Liz Knight, Governance Services - Telephone: 024
7683 3072/3073**

E-mail:

suzanne.bennett@coventry.gov.uk/liz.knight@coventry.gov.uk

This page is intentionally left blank

Coventry City Council
Minutes of the Meeting of Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee held at 10.00 am on
Wednesday, 18 October 2017

Present:

Members: Councillor R Brown (Chair)

Councillor N Akhtar
Councillor A Andrews
Councillor D Gannon
Councillor J McNicholas
Councillor M Mutton
Councillor G Ridley
Councillor R Singh

Other Members: Councillor Skinner

Employees (by Directorate):

Place S Bennett, V Castree, C Hickin, L Nagle, A West

People M Enderby, P Fahy

Apologies Councillor J Clifford

Public Business

24. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of disclosable pecuniary interests.

25. Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting held on 20 September, 2017, were signed as a true record, subject to an amendment to the list of members present to indicate that Councillor Clifford is the Deputy Chair of the Committee.

26. Emergency Planning and Resilience

The Committee considered a Briefing Note, together with a comprehensive presentation which provided information regarding local Resilience arrangements, together with the context for responding to major incidents and emergencies in Coventry and the work of the Resilience Team.

Resilience covers both Emergency Planning and Business Continuity and is the activity to ensure that the Council can deal with internal and external incidents and emergencies.

Coventry City Council is a Category 1 Responder and is required under the Civil Contingencies Act to fulfil its statutory duties, of which there are seven, which are essentially to Plan, Prepare and Respond to emergencies and for business disruptions. The Council maintains a number of plans in each area of resilience to ensure it can respond to emergencies as required.

The Briefing Note covered the following areas:-

- Responsibilities for resilience
- Arrangements for response
- How it all fits together
- The roles of Members in an Emergency
- Multi agency response structures

The presentation provided details in relation to:-

- The overview and purpose of the Resilience Service
- Emergency Plan and Business Continuity
- Command and control structures
- Roles and responsibilities
- Rest centres in Coventry and staffing and support for those centres
- Incidents and exercises in the last 12 months

Members questioned officers on aspects of the Briefing Note and presentation, including:-

- Lessons learnt from the Grenfell Tower disaster
- Proactive and reactive work
- Multi agency work in this regard, particularly with the Police
- Arrangements at rest centres
- The roles of Councillors in the event of an emergency and arrangements in place to ensure Ward Councillors are provided with information.

The Committee noted that two training sessions for all Members in relation to their role in the event of an emergency had been arranged and that there was a support “Z-Card” available for members which contained key information.

The Committee thanked the officers present for the presentation and their work in this regard.

RESOLVED that the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee:-

- (1) Notes and welcomes the presentation delivered, together with the responses received in relation to questions.**
- (2) Are reassured in relation to local arrangements for Emergency Planning and Resilience in Coventry**
- (3) Request that Emergency Planning and Resilience be reviewed annually by the Committee**

27. Police Crime and Community Safety Plan

The Committee considered a Briefing Note, together with a presentation, which provided an overview of the current Police, Crime and Community Safety Plan.

The Briefing Note indicated that the current priorities for the Plan are:-

- Drugs and Substance Misuse
- Domestic Violence and Abuse
- Hidden Harm and Vulnerable Victims
- Connect Build and Engage with Our Communities
- Anti-Social Behaviour and Environmental crime
- Reoffending and reduction of Harm
- Tackling National and International Threats

More information relating to the above priorities was detailed in the presentation, which was also appended to the report. Many of the themes in the Plan are cross cutting and have already been or are planned to be picked up in different Scrutiny Board Work programmes, details of which were contained in the Briefing Note.

Members questioned officers on aspects of the Briefing Note and presentation, particularly in relation to:-

- Information relating to the Action Plan and how the priorities detailed in the Plan will be addressed and delivered
- Information and data comparing people's perception of crime with actual recorded crime figures

- Resource issues for the West Midlands Police, the City Council and all other partners in delivering the Plan.

The Members requested that, in relation to the next meeting and consideration of the item on “WMP2020 – West Midlands Transformation Programme”, officers and West Midlands Police are given a clear indication of what information the Committee will require for the meeting.

RESOLVED that the Committee note the Briefing Note and presentation and request that copies of the Action Plan be circulated to all Members of the Committee.

28. West Midlands Combined Authority Overview and Scrutiny Feedback

The Committee received and noted a report back provided by Councillor J Mutton on the Overview and Scrutiny Committee for the West Midlands Combined Authority held on 12 September, 2017, which covered the following items considered at that meeting:-

- Transferring Governance of the West Midlands Fire and Rescue Authority to the West Midlands Combined Authority
- Governance of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- Membership of Task and Finish Groups.

29. Report Back on Attendance - Civic Visit to Kiel - 8 to 11 September, 2017

The Committee received a report of the Lord Mayor, Councillor T Skipper, which provided feedback on the Civic Visit to Kiel, Germany held between 8 to 11 September, 2017.

RESOLVED that the report be endorsed.

30. Outstanding Issues

The Committee noted that all outstanding issues had been dealt with in the Committee’s Work Programme.

31. Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee Work Programme 2017/2018

The Committee received and noted their Work Programme for the current Municipal Year and requested that a future meeting of the Committee be held at Friargate.

32. Suggestions for Scrutiny

The Committee considered a Briefing Note which indicated that the following two suggestions for topics for scrutiny had been received from members of the public:-

- Scrutiny of Phases 1 and 2 of the bus lane removals in relation to the safety of road users, including pedestrians and cyclists.
- Uber taxis

The Committee noted that the review of Phases 1 and 2 of the bus lanes removals was included in the Work Programme for the Business, Enterprise and Economy Scrutiny Board on a date yet to be determined and that the issue relating to Uber taxis would be considered by the Committee at their meeting to be held on 20 December, 2017.

RESOLVED that the members of the public who suggested the issues for consideration be informed of how these matters will be dealt with as detailed above.

33. Any Other Items of Public Business

There were no additional items of urgent public business.

(Meeting closed at 12.00 pm)

This page is intentionally left blank



Coventry City Council

Briefing note

To: Scrutiny Coordination Board

Date: 22nd November 2017

Subject: Student Accommodation and University City Development

1 Purpose of the Note

- 1.1 To inform the Scrutiny Coordination Board of the current position with purpose built student accommodation across the city and how that will relate to and support the growth in both the city's universities. The note also includes reference to policies and monitoring requirements within the emerging new Local Plan and City Centre Area Action Plan where appropriate.
- 1.2 This note is intended to be presented alongside information from both universities in relation to their own growth programmes and masterplans.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 The Scrutiny Coordination Board is recommended to:
 - 1) Note the information contained within this report; and
 - 2) Identify any further recommendations for the Cabinet Member

3 Information/Background

3.1 The Planning Policy Framework

- 3.2 The Council's planning policy currently comprises the 2001 Development Plan (as a starting point) and the emerging new Local Plan and City Centre Area Action Plan (AAP) (as material consideration). The new Local Plan and AAP will be presented to Cabinet on the 28th November and Council on the 5th December with a recommendation to adopt both as the new planning policy framework for Coventry. If approved at Council they will supersede the 2001 Development Plan the following day.
- 3.3 The new policy framework supports the principles of university growth with a view to it facilitating improved economic prosperity for Coventry. In developing both Plans the Council has worked closely with Coventry University, the University of Warwick, other key stakeholders and community groups to understand these growth requirements and their potential impacts as well as their benefits.
- 3.4 To support the expected and planned growth of both universities, the Local Plan sets out a policy direction that promotes purpose built student accommodation in close proximity to either campus. This is with a view to reducing the need to travel by car and ensuring close proximity to both the learning environment and other retail, community and recreational

provisions the city has to offer. Indeed the AAP in particular identifies a range of sites suitable for residential based development, of which some could be developed for student accommodation – something we are already seeing in some parts of the city centre.

- 3.5 A linked aim associated with the growth strategy for purpose built student accommodation is to reduce the pressure student households place on traditional family housing and their conversion to Homes in Multiple Occupation (HiMO's). Although not all HiMO's are occupied by students, we know that they do provide an affordable and attractive housing option to a number of students, especially in their 2nd or 3rd years at university and especially in the context of a lack of reasonable alternative options. This is where the increased offer of purpose built student accommodation is expected to have an effect as the current pipeline will significantly increase the availability and accessibility of high quality accommodation for students (regardless of their year of study).

3.6 Current Development Pipeline

- 3.7 In 2005 there were approximately 24,500 full time students at the cities two Universities. More recent evidence shows that this had increased to approximately 43,000 full time students with more than 50,000 students in total in 2015¹.
- 3.8 The 2005 study showed that approximately 10,500 (43%) of all full time students from both universities were in managed accommodation. Although the number of available bed spaces across the city increased by 26% between 2005 and 2014 (meaning there was sufficient number to accommodate in excess of 13,300 students) the proportion of bed spaces to full time students did not kept pace with the continued growth of the universities. This led to a decline in the number of full time students able to access purpose built accommodation (down to less than 35%).
- 3.9 Since 2014, the city has seen a stepped change in the level of purpose built student accommodation being promoted and developed. This is a clear market response to sustained growth in not only student population but also the status of both universities nationally and internationally. It has been accelerated by companies such as Study Inn, Unite and OXO student housing – as well as a revised approach by Coventry University in particular to invest in its own accommodation portfolio. New companies are also now looking to invest in the city such as Gilltown and Crosslane.
- 3.10 As a result and at the current time there are in excess of 8,000 new bed spaces with extant planning permission of which approximately 64% are currently under development or approaching completion. Sites such as Belgrade Plaza, Trinity Street, Bishop Street, London Road, Sky Blue Way and Manor Road are prime examples of current activity. They also follow in the footsteps of recent completions at the former AXA towers, Lower Ford Street and Far Gosford Street, the latter having opened its doors for the first time this September. In addition, proposals for a further 1,750 bed spaces are being considered through live planning applications and pre-application inquiries. There is no sign of current developer interest and demand slowing. We have also been advised by suppliers that demand for purpose built accommodation is not constrained to first year students and that it is increasingly becoming popular amongst 2nd, 3rd year and post graduate students, especially those travelling from abroad. Once completed the existing pipeline of schemes currently on site will significantly increase the level of access full time students have to purpose built student accommodation from approximately 35% to 50% of students by 2020 with further increases expected to follow. It should be noted however that the proportion of full time students with access to purpose built accommodation will depend on the extent of

¹ Numbers are derived from the Higher Education Funding Authority database. They should therefore be read as maximum figures as Coventry University student numbers also include those studying at campuses outside of Coventry e.g. London and Scarborough.

student growth across the city. A small year on year uplift of approximately 1,500 students per annum (on average) has been assumed.

- 3.11 In addition to new build provision it is also worth noting improvements both universities are making to the quality of their residential offer. The University of Warwick has been delivering plans across its campus to regenerate and redevelop its halls of residence in recent years in line with its masterplan. Coventry University are also currently in the process of demolishing parts of Priory Halls as they have reached the end of their useful life. These losses have been factored into the assessments of purpose built provisions.
- 3.12 Notwithstanding the current growth projections for purpose built accommodation, we are advised by both universities that current provisions remain inadequate to meet demand and that more provision is required to help meet student needs. Both the Local Plan and AAP strongly promote purpose built student accommodation and will continue to do so in the coming years.

3.13 Monitoring and Future Expectations

- 3.14 Looking forward, the new draft Local Plan makes a commitment to monitoring the completion and pipeline of purpose built student accommodation as well as the delivery of HiMO's and their concentration within different parts of the city. In order to maintain an up to date policy framework and ensure the policies within it are working appropriately and as intended it is vitally important that they are monitored on at least an annual basis.
- 3.15 In reaching her conclusions on both Plans, the Inspector has been quite clear that the monitoring frameworks be updated in line with the proposed modifications and that monitoring outputs be properly managed and results published when appropriate. Officers intend to publish the results of monitoring on at least an annual basis as part of the Council's Annual Monitoring Report. This is normally published towards the end of the calendar year and covers the preceding financial year.
- 3.16 The approach to monitoring student accommodation and HiMO's will include a rolling review of planning applications for change of use, conversion and new build provisions and a review of Council tax records to understand existing numbers and concentrations of HiMO's in particular. Gaining a wider understanding of concentration of HiMO's will help inform decision making and where necessary help defend the refusal of any inappropriate planning applications. The Council will also continue to work alongside the universities to monitor growth in student numbers and the demand for new accommodation. Both the demand and need for student housing (both HiMO and purpose built) is expected to form part of Council's new Housing Strategy (to be progressed during 2018).
- 3.16 The outputs of this monitoring are expected to show a continued increase in purpose built provision and a decline in HiMO conversions and occupancy within the student cohort. We are already seeing anecdotal evidence through the presence of continued 'To Let' signs (especially around the edges of the city centre) and landlord inquiries through the Council's Housing Options team that suggest student HiMO's and rooms within multiple occupancy properties are not being occupied to the extent they have been before. We expect to see this trend become increasingly common as new purpose built accommodation comes online in the next 12-24 months. Although signs of change are appearing, this will not be something that changes overnight. We will therefore need to ensure we work closely with private landlords and continue to support and facilitate a period of market adjustment.

Name Mark Andrews

Job Title Planning and Housing Policy Manager

Contact Details mark.andrews@coventry.gov.uk or 024 7683 4295

Appendix:

1. Purpose built student accommodation pipeline – currently being compiled

| Site Address | Number of bed spaces | Status |
|---|----------------------|--------------------|
| The Old County Hall, Bayley Lane | 18 | permitted |
| Former Dairy Crest Harper Road | 200 | permitted |
| 33 Parkside | 217 | permitted |
| Former Coventry and Warwickshire Hospital Stoney Stanton Road | 291 | permitted |
| Car park opposite Swimming Baths, Fairfax Street | 1,117 | permitted |
| St Patricks Road / Friars Road | 583 | permitted |
| Garages off Terry Road | 48 | permitted |
| Evening Telegraph Buildings, Corporation Street | 385 | permitted |
| 50-60, Queen's Road | 20 | under construction |
| 17-18 Queens Road | 21 | under construction |
| Regency House, 32 Queens Road | 24 | under construction |
| Theatre 1, Lower Ford Street | 42 | under construction |
| Cornerfield Cottage, Shultern Lane | 42 | under construction |
| 5-7 Lower Holyhead Road | 50 | under construction |
| 27-28 Queens Road | 67 | under construction |
| Former Allied Carpets building, Corporation Street | 91 | under construction |
| 7 Manor Road | 110 | under construction |
| London Road Social Club 25 Paradise Street | 155 | under construction |
| Park Road / Manor Road | 176 | under construction |
| 1 Raglan Street | 347 | under construction |
| Land bounded by Trinity Street, Palmer Lane & Hales Street | 353 | under construction |
| University of Warwick Campus | 500 | under construction |
| Queens Road / Regent Street | 70 | under construction |
| 28, 54-56 & 58-74 London Road | 568 | under construction |
| Belgrade Plaza | 600 | under construction |
| Ultraseal Godiva Place Lower Ford Street | 769 | under construction |
| Land off Tower Street | 1,116 | under construction |
| 24-26 Carter Road | 24 | under construction |
| 83 Gulson Road | 34 | under construction |
| 57-59 Lower Ford Street | 12 | under construction |
| Totals | 8,050 | - |
| Number under construction | 5,191 | |
| % under construction | 64% | |

| Site Address | Approximate Number of bed spaces | Additional Comments |
|--------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Site A | 450 | City Centre - pre-application inquiry |
| Site B | 500 | Edge of City Centre - pre-application inquiry |

| | | |
|---|--------------|---|
| Former Canley Social Club Marler Road | 452 | Planning application submitted but not yet determined |
| Land bounded by Bond Street, Hill Street and Ryley Street | 385 | Planning application submitted but not yet determined |
| Totals | 1,787 | - |

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| All Site opportunities | 9,837 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|

This page is intentionally left blank



Public report Cabinet Report

A separate report is submitted in the private part of the agenda in respect of this item, as it contains details of financial information required to be kept private in accordance with Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972. The grounds for privacy are that it contains information relating to the financial and business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) and the amount of expenditure proposed to be incurred by the Council under a particular contract for the supply of goods or services. The public interest in maintaining the exemption under Schedule 12A outweighs the public interest in disclosing the information.

Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee
Cabinet

22 November 2017
28 November 2017

Name of Cabinet Member:

Cabinet Member for Adult Services – Councillor Abbott

Director Approving Submission of the report:

Deputy Chief Executive (People)

Ward(s) affected:

All

Title:

Preventative Support - Adult Services

Is this a key decision?

Yes – the proposals in the report will affect the whole city and involve financial implications in excess of £1m

Executive Summary:

The delivery of effective preventative support is an important element of supporting people early in order to maintain their independence and prevent or reduce the need for social care or health support. Providing support in this way is a key element of the strategy for Adult Social Care as well as being enshrined in the Care Act 2014.

Preventative support is currently provided by a range of voluntary and third sector organisations who are commissioned by both the City Council and the Coventry and Rugby Clinical Commissioning Group (CRCCG) through a range of contracts and grants all of which are due to expire in March 2018.

In order to ensure that the support provided beyond the end of these existing arrangements remains focused and delivers positive outcomes for the people of the city within the available resource, the City Council and CRCCG undertook a programme of work to review and then re-commission support across four priority groups: carers, people with physical impairments or dementia, mental health conditions and adults with learning disabilities. Voluntary and Third

Sector providers were fully engaged in the process of the review including the setting of priority areas and outcomes. Stakeholder views were actively sought to support the development of proposals for future services.

As well as targeting support on priority groups the review work also sought to deliver a saving for the City Council of £0.5m for delivery in 2018/19 from the existing Council spend of £3.01m per annum as part of the budget setting process in February 2017.

This report details the outcome of the review work and the proposed changes for the support across each of the priority areas and, following a grant application process, seeks approval to enter into grant arrangements for a period of five years.

Recommendations:

Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee is recommended to:

1. Consider the proposals contained within the report and forward any comments to Cabinet for consideration at their meeting on 28 November 2017

Cabinet is recommended to:

1. Consider any comments and/or recommendations from the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee
2. Approve the award of community based preventative support grant funding to 12 organisations for the delivery of 11 grant proposals for a period of five years from 1 April 2018

List of Appendices included:

Appendix A - Equality Consultation Analysis

Background papers

None

Other useful documents

None

Has it been or will it be considered by Scrutiny?

Yes. Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee – 22 November 2017

Has it been or will it be considered by any other Council Committee, Advisory Panel or other body?

No

Will this report go to Council?

No

Report title: Preventative Support – Adult Services

1. Context (or background)

- 1.1 Recent policy changes and the introduction of the Care Act 2014, along with the development of local strategies provides an opportunity to consider whether current funding of preventative support provided by the voluntary and third sector to adults and older people living in the community is as effectively targeted as it could be.
- 1.2 Enabling people to be as independent as possible is a fundamental principle to the delivery of Adult Social Care in Coventry. The provision of effective preventative support enables this by supporting people to access alternatives to statutory funded services. The Care Act itself establishes a general responsibility for prevention and the reduction of needs for care and support and the promotion of well-being.
- 1.3 Both the City Council and the Coventry and Rugby Clinical Commissioning Group (CRCCG) commission preventative support to a variety of groups through a series of contracts and grant aid agreements that expire in March 2018, including carers' support, advocacy, housing related support, money management and information and advice and counselling support.
- 1.4 In order to ensure the support that is provided beyond the end of these existing arrangements remains focussed and delivers positive outcomes within the available resource the City Council and CRCCG undertook a programme of work to review and then re-commission support across four priority groups – a) carers, b) people with physical impairments or dementia, c) people with mental ill health and d) adults with learning disabilities.
- 1.5 The work was also undertaken with the additional objective of achieving a savings target of £0.5m for the City Council from a spend level in 2017/18 of £3.01m. Previously, and as part of earlier reviews, some organisations had already experienced reductions in funding. In total 36 different services from 22 providers were included in this review.
- 1.6 The scope included current support provision e.g. carers' support, housing related support, information and advice, mental ill health support and a broad range of community support to help build resilience. The review did not include Council expenditure on domestic violence, homelessness, advocacy, money management services or the foodbank.
- 1.7 A collaborative approach to the review was taken, involving voluntary and third sector providers in the co-production of future provision and commissioning arrangements. Three workshops were held with providers between November 2016 and January 2017 seeking their views and input on a range of different areas, including: the appropriateness of identified priority groups; flexibility within arrangements to adapt to changing circumstances: duration of agreements and monitoring arrangements for successful organisations.
- 1.8 Feedback from these sessions indicated what was required in order for the Council and the CRCCG to achieve the best possible outcomes:
 - Any funding application process should be as simple and straightforward as possible to ensure that smaller organisations are supported to apply and provide adequate time for applications.

- Flexibility in arrangements with a focus on outcomes that enable movement in response to changing needs.
 - Some stability of funding, providing time to adjust to new arrangements and recognising that this supports organisations' ability to invest in developing new models
- 1.9 Input was also sought from the Adult Social Care Stakeholder Reference Group, CRCCG patient representatives and professionals working with the services. Feedback indicated a preference for a range of support options that avoid duplication, including support that enables emergency response for carers, support for older people to access community support and support for people who hoard.
- 1.10 Using the outcome from this engagement, a grant application process took place from 24 April 2017 to 30 June 2017, as a result of which a total of 24 applications were received. A two stage evaluation process was used including representatives of both the City Council and CRCCG. 17 applications progressed to the second stage which involved face-to-face meetings, enabling panels to explore how proposals would be delivered in order to meet the required outcomes. These meetings also focused on use of resources which in some cases resulted in organisations revising the original costs submitted. 5 further applications were unsuccessful at this stage and 1 was withdrawn resulting in 11 applications from 12 providers being recommended for award. A summary of the successful grant applications illustrating changes to the support offer and the value of proposed grants to be awarded is detailed in the private report on this agenda.
- 1.11 Voluntary and third sector organisations that are successful for grant funding will be expected to regularly provide outcome monitoring evidence, which can be readily submitted to the Council and CRCCG on request or at specified intervals during each financial year. The Council and CRCCG intends to further develop monitoring arrangements in collaboration with successful organisations during the period of implementation.
- 1.12 Many existing providers are recommended for the award of grants as a result of this process. This will support continuity and market stability and in many cases existing support offers will remain, albeit on reduced funding levels. There was no indication that any organisation will become unsustainable as a direct result of the grant process, regardless of whether they are successful or unsuccessful. Where existing providers did not apply or their application for grant funding was unsuccessful, the impact of this has been assessed and plans are in place to deliver transition arrangements for people currently accessing support.
- 1.13 Taking each priority area in turn, paragraphs 1.14 to 1.23 outline the range and type of support to be made available as a result of the work undertaken.
- 1.14 **Supporting Carers to live well whilst caring**
The proposals will enable carers in Coventry to access a range of services that maintain and add to the preventative support offer for carers. For example, a 'Carers Wellbeing Service' will strengthen the existing support offer to meet demand through a package of early identification and awareness of carers' needs. This will include:
- One-to-one support
 - Information and advice
 - Carers' peer support groups
 - Emergency support

- Urgent support to prevent carer crisis
 - Carers' training
 - Carers' short breaks
- 1.15 In addition, training and awareness raising options for carers will continue to be provided with a focus on building community resilience through greater stimulation of peer-support networks.
- 1.16 Outside of this grant process carers living in Coventry can continue to access a broad range of assistance to maintain their caring role, and their own health and wellbeing. This assistance includes residential respite, carer direct payments, primary care support and signposting through GP surgeries, access to the Admiral Nursing Service that helps carers to care for people with complex needs, and the Carer Aware online training in respect of carers' rights and needs. Carers' assessments will also continue to be provided through Heart of England Carers Trust.
- 1.17 **Supporting people with physical impairment and those with dementia to remain independent and live well**
The proposals will strengthen the range of existing support options for people with physical impairments and dementia along with assistance for their families, relatives and carers. The main support elements in this priority group cover:
- Targeted interventions for more complex needs including dementia and sensory impairments
 - Information and advice
 - One-to-one and outreach support
 - Peer support groups
 - Health and wellbeing activities
- 1.18 The support offer for people with sensory impairment will also be improved through targeted help and interventions for people to build independent living skills.
- 1.19 **Supporting people with mental ill health to live well**
The proposals are expected to maintain support levels and meet existing demand whilst also enabling additional flexibility and innovation to target support more effectively for people with mental ill health. The main types of support include:
- Accommodation-based housing related support and outreach capability
 - Community short-term and intensive support
 - Targeted one-to-one interventions
 - Peer support, community involvement and advocacy
- 1.20 A central part of the preventative offer will be a collaborative model of support delivered across three local organisations, which will enable more joined-up and flexible options for people when they need it most alongside the ability to outreach into specific communities.
- 1.21 In addition, targeted early help for people with hoarding behaviours will be provided which will enable people to live safe and well in their own homes.
- 1.22 **Supporting adults with learning disabilities to live fulfilling lives**
The proposals will deliver a range of support to enable people with learning disabilities to develop skills, confidence, participation and resilience within the community. Support elements include:

- Targeted one-to-one and peer support
- Engagement with and training for local businesses to provide opportunities and safe spaces within the community for people with learning disabilities
- Housing related floating support for people with learning disabilities to maintain their own home in the community

1.23 There will be change to the provision of accommodation-based housing related support largely as a result of 4 existing providers not applying for a grant. Decommissioning arrangements are in progress to ensure that those affected by this continue to be supported in the most appropriate way, dependent on individual needs. The ongoing delivery of housing related floating support is expected to meet any existing needs along with capacity to support emerging demand.

2. **Options considered and recommended proposal**

2.1 Option 1 – Recommended option – Award grants

It is recommended that the Council awards grants to the organisations and at the values specified in the private report on this agenda. As it both enables the targeting of resources to identified priority groups and the delivery of the £0.5m annual savings target required from this work.

2.2 Option 2 – Not recommended - Implement a percentage reduction

The Council could seek delivery of the annual savings target of £0.5m through applying an apportioned reduction to all existing arrangements with the voluntary and third sector and extend current arrangements on this basis. This would deliver the savings target but would not enable the refocusing of resources and the targeting of resources on priority groups. Equally an apportioned reduction takes little account of organisations ability to absorb the reduction or the impact of this and therefore can create sustainability risks.

2.3 Option 3 – Not recommended - Extend existing arrangements on existing terms and conditions

The Council could extend and continue with existing arrangements. Although this would provide the most stable position for existing organisations and people that use their services, this would not enable the targeting of resources on identified priority areas and would not enable the annual savings requirement of £0.5m to be met.

2.4 Option 4 – Not recommended – Undertake a formal tender

The Council could undertake a formal tender process for preventative support as opposed to the award of grants. Progressing this route would put at risk the sustainability of many existing local voluntary and third sector organisations and therefore undermine many local organisations who use their own resources as well of funding from statutory bodies to support people in Coventry.

3. **Results of consultation undertaken**

3.1 A formal consultation has not been undertaken. Instead, a commissioning approach was designed and progressed in collaboration with the voluntary and third sector. This co-production was initiated in November 2016 and continued up to the point of the commencement of the grant application process.

3.2 Stakeholder feedback regarding future provision of preventative support was also sought. This indicated a preference for a range of support options that avoid duplication including support that enables emergency response for carers, support for older people to reduce their social isolation and support for people who hoard.

4. Timetable for implementing this decision

4.1 If approved, grants will be awarded to successful providers with new arrangements commencing from 1 April 2018.

4.2 Planning and preparation to implement new support arrangements will take place between 1 December 2017 and 31 March 2018. This will allow organisations the opportunity to adjust delivery and funding models, inform existing people receiving support of any changes and communicate the new arrangements.

4.3 8 current providers did not apply for grant funding, which includes 4 providers of housing related support for people with learning disabilities and a provider of a home maintenance and handy man service. Therefore decommissioning arrangements for this provision are in progress, focused on ensuring that people continue to be supported in the most appropriate way, dependent on individual needs.

5. Comments from the Director of Finance and Corporate Services

5.1 Financial implications

The grant award process proposes to award £2.51m of resources to 12 providers. This is funded jointly across the Council and Coventry and Rugby Clinical Commissioning Group; £1.76m and £0.75m respectively. The process delivers £0.5m of savings, meeting the target set in the February 2017 budget report.

5.2 Legal implications

The Care Act 2014 makes it clear that in performing its functions under the Act,

“The general duty of a local authority, in exercising a function ... in the case of an individual, is to promote that individual’s well-being”.

The Act also introduced a specific requirement for local authorities to focus on the prevention, reduction or delay in development by adults in its area of needs for care and support, and by carers in its area of needs for support. The local authority has considerable discretion in how it chooses to meet this responsibility so as to be able to flexibly respond to the specific requirements of adults and carers in its area.

Public authority decision makers are under a non-delegable ongoing duty to have regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic (disabilities, age, gender reassignment, pregnancy and maternity, race, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation). (Public sector equality duty, s 149(1) Equality Act 2010). Decision makers must be consciously thinking about these aims as part of their decision making process, with rigour and an open mind. The duty is to have "due regard" not to achieve a result, but to the need to achieve these goals and consideration must be given to the potential adverse impacts and the measures (if any) that are available to minimise any discriminatory effects. Members must therefore ensure that they read all relevant papers to enable them to assess the risk and extent of any adverse impacts and the

ways in which any such risk can be eliminated. This requires more than just an awareness of the equality duty, it requires rigorous analysis by the public authority, beyond broad options.

The proposed grant funding does not breach state aid regulations as it can be argued to fall within the local aid exemption.

6. Other implications

6.1 How will this contribute to the Council Plan (www.coventry.gov.uk/councilplan/)?

Implementation of a new community based preventative support grant and agreements will make a positive contribution to the delivery of the Council's priorities, particularly in relation to: citizens living longer, healthier, independent lives; support improved health and wellbeing and support the City to reduce health inequalities.

6.2 How is risk being managed?

A project risk register has been established for this programme of work. Key current risks identified include managing the decommissioning progress for the housing related support providers who did not apply for future funding and supporting successful organisations to manage demand for community-based preventative support. Risks will continue to be identified, mitigations sought and impact managed through existing processes.

As requirements for a grant cannot be as prescriptive as a contract awarded as an outcome to a formal tender process providers will not be contractually obliged to deliver the services. However, clear guidelines were followed when evaluating applications to ensure that future support from the voluntary and third sector will meet Council and CRCCG objectives. Should any provider not deliver the grant outcomes required the Council would have the option to remove or reduce the grant.

6.3 What is the impact on the organisation?

None

6.4 Equalities / EIA

The voluntary and third sector in Coventry currently supports people with a range of protected characteristics. An analysis of equality impacts has been completed to understand the impact that community based preventative support grant awards will have on people currently in receipt of support as well as those who may need support in the future. An Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) document is appended to this report in Appendix A. A focus on targeted support and enabling greater community resilience through future provision is expected to mitigate any negative impacts. This will be monitored as part of overseeing delivery and outcomes.

6.5 Implications for (or impact on) the environment

None

6.6 Implications for partner organisations?

The adult services community based preventative support grant is specifically concerned with the voluntary and third sector in Coventry. The review of current support and planning of future provision has been completed in collaboration with the voluntary and third sector organisations who will be affected by the outcome of the process.

Undertaking this work jointly with the CRCCG and entering into one agreement for both organisations (as opposed to two) furthers the integration of health and social care.

Report author(s):

Name and job title:

Michelle McGinty – Head of Programme Delivery

Directorate:

People

Tel and email contact:

024 7683 1514 michelle.mcginty@coventry.gov.uk

Enquiries should be directed to the above person.

| Contributor/approver name | Title | Directorate or organisation | Date doc sent out | Date response received or approved |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|
| Contributors: | | | | |
| Lara Knight | Governance Services Co-ordinator | Place | 23/10/17 | 25/10/17 |
| Robert-Mackenzie Wilson | Commissioning Manager | People | 23/10/17 | 26/10/17 |
| Gemma Tate | Policy Analyst | People | 23/10/17 | 26/10/17 |
| Amanda Mayes | Strategic Category Lead (People) | People | 23/10/17 | 25/10/17 |
| Susan Byatt | Category Manager (People) | People | 23/10/17 | 25/10/17 |
| Richard Nailor | Business Partner Accountant | Place | 23/10/17 | 26/10/17 |
| Rob Parkes | Lawyer | Place | 02/11/17 | 02/11/17 |
| Jon Reading | Head of Commissioning and Provision | People | 01/11/17 | 02/11/17 |
| Other members | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Names of approvers for submission: (officers and members) | | | | |
| Finance: Ewan Dewar | Finance Manager (People) | Place | 23/10/17 | 01/11/17 |
| Legal: Janice White | Solicitor | Place | 30/10/17 | 02/11/17 |
| Director: Peter Fahy | Director of Adult Services | People | 23/10/17 | 01/11/17 |
| Gail Quinton | Deputy Chief Executive (People) | People | 30/10/17 | 31/10/17 |
| Members: | | | | |
| Councillor Faye Abbott | Cabinet Member for Adult Services | | 03/11/17 | 06/11/17 |
| | | | | |

This report is published on the council's website:
www.coventry.gov.uk/councilmeetings

Appendices

Appendix A - Equality Consultation Analysis

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

In line with the principles of decision making outlined in the City Council Constitution, the Council will ensure that its decision making is open and transparent, and that due regard is given to the Council's obligations and desire to promote equality of opportunity and equal treatment.

Part 1

This part must be completed and before formal consultation is undertaken and must be available during the consultation stage.

Author of this document: Gemma Tate

Name of Service Area/Proposal: Preventative Support – Adult Services

Head of Service: Michelle McGinty / Jon Reading

Date of completion: July/August 2017

Background to the planned changes

- 1. What is the background to the planned changes? Why is this change being considered? *If further information is available on the different scenarios that have been considered as part of this work, provide a link to the public document which contains this information.***

The City Council (Adult Social Care) and Coventry and Rugby Clinical Commissioning Group (CRCCG) have been undertaking a review of voluntary and third sector preventative support currently commissioned by both organisations.

The purpose of the review was to reprioritise voluntary and third sector spend and achieve a £500k Council saving for delivery by 2018/19, as agreed in the Council's budget setting process in February 2017.

This review has provided the opportunity to engage with the sector to develop how future community-based preventative support is delivered in Coventry. The aim is to commission and to invest in effective preventative support for people most in need: to stay independent, build their resilience and live well for as long as possible. The support will be time-limited and focused on providing a short-term intensive period of support with the flexibility for people to drop in and out of support when required.

Under the Care Act 2014 there is a general responsibility for prevention and well-being. In addition, effective prevention can support the long term viability of social care and can also play a key role in supporting people to access alternative support to statutory funded services.

Current services include a range of preventative support to a variety of groups across different service areas, including information and advice, carers' support, housing related support and short term one-to-one support. These services are been commissioned over many years and whilst some savings had been made in previous years, including through the 'A Bolder

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

Community Services' Programme (ABCS), models of service delivery had not significantly changed.

The scope of the review has been refined during the engagement phase and a total 22 current providers are included this review. The engagement phase resulted in the decision by the City Council and CRCCG to terminate all current agreements and re-commission support by a grant giving process called the Community-based Preventative Support Grant with a total of £2.51m available (City Council £1.76m and the CRCCG £0.75m). This figure includes the reduction in funding by the City Council. All voluntary and third sector organisations that meet the requirements of grant will be able to apply for funding.

The priority groups identified are:

- Supporting carers to live well whilst caring
- Supporting people with physical impairments and those with dementia to remain independent and live well
- Supporting people with mental ill health to live well
- Supporting adults with learning disabilities to live fulfilling lives

The priority groups identified above are the direct result of a shared agreement with the sector developed through a series of three co-production workshops held in November and December 2016 and January 2017 (more detail is described in question 3 below.)

The commissioning of the Community-based Preventative Support Grant is underpinned by an Outcomes framework for delivery of support.

2. Who do you need to consider as part of this ECA? *stakeholder analysis

The following stakeholders would need to be considered:

- Current organisations who receive funding from the City Council and/or CRCCG,
- Potential new organisations who could apply for funding
- Current and potential service users and carers
- Adult social care operational teams
- Wider partners across the health and social care sector

Pre- Engagement Period

This section refers to any activities that took place (such as briefings, meetings, workshops, scoping exercises etc) with stakeholders before the formal consultation period.

3. What engagement activities took place prior to formal consultation and what feedback was received in relation to equality issues?

The proposal to reduce voluntary and third sector spend by adult social care was part of the 2017/18 budget proposals which were consulted upon as part of the Council's budget setting process. Therefore no specific consultation was required for this piece of work. The approach taken

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

was to engage with the sector at the earliest opportunity in order for them to be actively involved in and harness their expertise in planning the future direction of community-based preventative support.

The review of voluntary and third sector support has been undertaken via a series of co-production workshops with current providers of support and other voluntary and third sector organisations who have an interest in this area and could potentially apply for funding. Workshops were held in November and December 2016 and January 2017.

The workshops focused on:

- Developing a shared agreement on the priority groups
- Developing high level strategic outcomes
- Developing specific priority group outcomes

In order for the Council and CRCCG to understand further the potential impacts of reduced funding for community based preventative support, Voluntary and Third Sector Organisations were asked as part of the application process for the grant to provide evidence of the potential negative impact of the budget reduction. In addition, organisations were asked to highlight the potential benefits if the current level of funding was maintained.

Analysis of Impact

In this section please ensure that you consider the three aims of the general duty as they affect **protected groups**. These groups are:

Age
Disability
Gender
Gender reassignment
Marriage/Civil Partnership
Pregnancy/Maternity
Race
Religion/Belief
Sexual Orientation

The **three aims of the general duty** require that a public authority, in the exercise of its functions, must have due regard to the need to:

1. Eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
2. Advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it
3. Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it

Note – when identifying potential impacts below, please only include impacts that may exist over and above general impacts that may affect the wider community/population. For example, a reduction in grant to Coventry Citizens Advice

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

would affect all service users through a reduced level of first line advice being available to all – but it would affect the following groups more; age, disability, gender and race as they represent a larger proportion of the clients who use the advice service.

4. **Outline below how this proposal/review could impact on protected groups positively or negatively, and what steps (if any) could be taken to reduce any negative impact that has been identified. NB. Only include realistic mitigating actions that could be delivered.**

People with the following protected characteristics will be affected by the way support is commissioning from the Voluntary and Third Sector:

- Age – adults aged 18+
- Disability – adults and older people with disabilities, including long term conditions.
- Race – some current service provision is focused on different BAME groups, for example the Asian and African Caribbean communities

No disproportionate impacts have been identified for the following protected characteristics gender, gender reassignment, Marriage/Civil Partnership, Pregnancy/Maternity, Religion/Belief and Sexual Orientation

Overarching impacts

The overarching aim of the Community-based Preventative Support Grant is for voluntary and third sector organisations to provide flexible support within communities for people most in need that keeps them independent, healthy and living well. This is reflected in the priority groups that will be supported via the grant as outlined in response to question 1.

By redefining the types of support available from the voluntary and third sector organisations there will be a positive impact for the priority groups. The grant intentions are that funding is invested directly into front line interventions through flexible models of support which means that people can access support as and when needed.

The Council funding reduction will potentially have a negative impact on some organisations which will potentially support less people under new arrangements. Organisations who are successful in obtaining grant awards will, as part of their agreements, be working to increase resilience within communities, creating opportunities for people to increase their support networks and over time be able to offer informal support to others. It is expected these activities, in part, will mitigate some of the impact of the budget reduction.

5. **Are there any other vulnerable groups that could be affected? i.e. deprivation, looked after children, carers.**

Also include any information about the health/Marmot implications of this proposal. Contact Georgia Faherty (georgia.faherty@coventry.gov.uk or tel. 7683 1950) or Hannah Watts (hannah.watts@coventry.gov.uk or tel. 7683 3973) in Public Health for more information.

Any reduction in services provided for vulnerable individuals poses a risk of increasing health inequalities, so the identification of the priority groups for grant funding is a positive move towards ensuring the most vulnerable people are still able to receive preventative support. The potential reduction in numbers of people who will be supported presents a risk

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

that some people who are reliant on these types of services may not be able to access them in the future. Mitigation for this risk is through the development of community resilience and assets, and ensuring that those individuals who are not eligible for services are signposted to alternative options. It will be important that monitoring of the new services takes account of not only those using the services, but also any reduction in numbers from those previously using support and investigation of the outcomes for that group to ensure that those just above the eligibility criteria are not disproportionately disadvantaged.

Carers of adults and older people are one of the priority groups for grant funding. Therefore carers will continue to be supported in line with the Multi-agency Carers Strategy which was agreed earlier this year.

The support offered via the grant will be for adults and therefore no impact on looked after children is envisaged.

The successful organisations who obtain grant funding will be expected to work across the City and where possible utilise community venues across the city, including in more deprived areas. Some support models will offer people support from their own homes and/or within GP surgeries.

The physical impairment and dementia priority group will also support people with long term conditions. We know that people from more deprived backgrounds are more likely to live with long-term conditions. This means they will have a range of preventative services available to offer support if required.

6. What are the gaps in evidence? Can this be addressed during the consultation stage? If so, how?

The starting point for this review was to move towards a model of commissioning new arrangements by an outcomes framework rather than more traditional approach of setting targets for the numbers of people to be supported. Therefore we have not reviewed any current data in respect of the types of people who are currently accessing support from these organisations, as the majority of the data is held by the organisations concerned and not the Council/CRCCG

We have, as part of the engagement workshops asked organisations to use their own information to identify any gaps in service delivery and how these might be addressed within applications for grant funding.

As part of the evaluation of the grant applications a small focus group of people who have an interest in voluntary and third sector and/or have used these types of services before looked at the applications that met the minimum standard for a proposal discussion meeting. Any questions and/or feedback from the group will be fed into the proposal discussion meetings. A key purpose of this meeting was to explore any potential gaps in support for people within the priority groups.

Following grant awards each individual organisation will be required to gather monitoring information, including data which will support further equalities analysis post-implementation of the new support offer. This will enable the Council and funded organisations to develop any further mitigating actions, if required.

**Coventry City Council
Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form**

7. What are the likely impacts of this project/review on staff from protected groups?

There are no Council or CRCCG staff affected by this review: any affected staff are employed by the Voluntary and Third Sector organisations. More understanding of any impact of staff from protected groups will be known once proposed officer recommendations for grant awards are known.

The Council and CRCCG will work closely with organisations during the transitional period to new arrangements to understand any impacts on either staff or current service users within the protected groups.

Coventry City Council
Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

Part 2

This section should be completed AFTER the consultation stage has been concluded.

Author of this document: Gemma Tate

Date of completion: September/October 2017

Post-Consultation

8. Referring to the information detailed in question 4 of Part 1 of the ECA Form, state if the consultation has confirmed the potential impacts identified that were identified. Also detail below any additional information about potential impacts that has been highlighted during the consultation.

No formal consultation has been carried out for this piece of work. This section of the ECA will assess the equality impact for the applications that the Council/CRCCG have received for the Community-based Preventative Support Grant. The focus will be on assessing any equality impacts as a result of proposed grant awards for both successful and unsuccessful organisations.

This ECA will also assess any equality impact upon service users who are supported by current providers who have not applied for future funding as this will mean these organisations will no longer receive funding from the Council/CRCCG from 31 March 2018.

Overarching impacts

The overarching positive and negative equality impacts outlined in part 1 remain valid.

One of the questions on the grant application form was for voluntary and third sector organisations to outline the potential impacts of the proposed budget reduction. In summary the following **potential** impacts were identified by them:

- A reduced budget would could result in fewer people being supported at a time when the prevalence of certain long-term conditions is growing, for example dementia and mental health conditions
- Staff numbers and/or capacity might be reduced in some organisations, leading to the potential loss of highly trained staff
- Small organisations that deliver bespoke, unique services, for example to Black Asian Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups, could become unsustainable without continued funding, leaving a potential gap in service delivery
- Less people might receive support with benefit claims, resulting in lost income for the individual but also to the city.
- Increased pressure on statutory services (adult social care, health services and homelessness.)

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

Following conclusion of the evaluation of the grant application process, the following impacts have emerged.

Current providers not applying for future funding

8 current providers did not submit applications for future funding. By the nature of the support provided people with disabilities are the group of people who will be most affected. The Council/CRCCG will be working with all organisations to understand the impact of these decisions. It is anticipated that there will be no or very limited impact in relation to 3 of these organisations as they only received a small amount of funding and will continue to offer the support they currently provide.

The Council is working with 1 organisation to ensure the service is phased out appropriately and where possible people who have used the service are signposted to other types of information/advice and support that might be available to them. The service ceased on 30 September 2017. At the time the service ceased all open cases had been closed.

The remaining 4 organisations currently support 32 people; 9 (28%) of whom have previously had an assessment and currently have eligible health and social care needs.

In terms of equality characteristics, all people receiving support from these 4 organisations will have a disability. There are 25 males and 7 females. The age range varies, with the majority (23) of people aged 50 and over. The majority of people (21) identify as White British. Note the Council does not currently hold equality information for all individuals affected.

Assessments and/or reviews are in progress to determine whether people currently receiving this type of support, who are not currently known to adult social care or people who have not had a recent needs assessment, have any eligible care and support needs in line with the Care Act. Similar types of support will still be available following Grant Awards and where required people will be transferred to a new provider and/or other types of support to meet their needs.

Where people are not eligible for social care support they will receive information and advice about alternative sources of support.

Current providers that have not been recommended for future funding

Overall, 5 providers have not been recommended for future funding, of which 3 are current providers. For 1 provider their unsuccessful application was for a small amount of additional funding for raising awareness of mental ill health and infrastructure costs (e.g. IT equipment) to support this. There will be no disproportionate equality impacts of this decision, as activities of this nature will be still be carried out by this organisation, in collaboration with other providers supporting people with mental ill health.

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

2 providers currently provide floating support services. 1 provider is primarily contracted to support 47 older people aged 60+ and the other supports 52 people with mental ill health. The Council does not currently hold any equalities data in respect of these individuals.

Following notification of being unsuccessful for grant awards, the Council will work with both providers, the people receiving support and their families to determine whether people currently receiving this type of support have any eligible care and support needs in line with the Care Act. Similar types of support will still be available following Grant Awards and where required people will be transferred to a new provider and/or other types of support to meet their needs.

Where people are not eligible for social care support they will receive information and advice about alternative sources of support.

Positive Impacts – new types of support for some of the priority groups

Some of the organisations that have been recommended for funding are new organisations who will be able to offer specialist support to people with visual and hearing impairments and people who hoard. There is currently limited preventative support for these groups of people.

Supporting people who hoard has been an increasing issue for operational staff within adult social care. Hoarding has recently been recognised as a mental health condition under the International Classifications of Diseases (ICD) and is considered within the definition of self neglect under the Care Act 2014.

Additionally some successful organisations will use volunteers as part of their overall support offer and thus create more peer-to-peer support options.

Outcome of equality impact

9. Indicate which of the following best describes the equality impact of this project/review:

- There will be **no** equality impact if the proposed option is implemented
- There will be **positive** equality impact if the proposed option is implemented
- There will be **negative** equality impact if the proposed option is implemented but this can be objectively justified
- There will be both **positive and negative** impacts if the proposed option is implemented

Summary of ECA

Write a paragraph below which summarises the key aspects of this ECA.

Coventry City Council Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

NB. - This paragraph will be included in the Decision-making Report as well as the end of year ECA report

Where specific objectives have been set for any protected groups around equality impact, also include this information below.

The Community-based Preventative Support Grant will have a positive impact on adults and older people with disabilities, as they will have access to targeted support which will enable them to build their resilience and access support within their community as and when they need it, with an increasing focus on direct one-to-one support. This will enable them to remain independent and live well for longer.

All successful organisations will be expected to work with adults aged 18+ and people from all ethnic backgrounds. Some existing organisations who specialise in supporting people from BAME communities with mental ill health will continue to offer support to this group of people, working closely with other agencies. In addition there will be more support available to people with sensory impairments and people who hoard.

The potential reduction in numbers of people who will be supported presents a risk that some people who are reliant on these types of services may not be able to access them in the future. Mitigation for this risk is through the development of community resilience and assets, and ensuring that those individuals who are not eligible for services are signposted to alternative options. However early indications from the grant application process is that some successful organisations have re-configured their support models to be able to support similar numbers of people each year.

The transition period to the new arrangements will enable those providers who have not applied for funding or those who have been unsuccessful to work alongside affected individuals, their families and social workers to identify any ongoing care and support needs and how these can be met.

The Strategic Commissioning Team will be working closely with both successful and unsuccessful organisations to monitor any equalities impacts and identify any further mitigating actions.

Next steps

Please send this completed ECA to the Insight Team as follows:

Wendy Ohandjanian (wendy.ohandjanian@coventry.gov.uk tel. 7683 2939)

Jaspal Mann (jaspal.mann@coventry.gov.uk tel. 7683 3112)

Version Control

| Version | Date | Summary of changes (Author) |
|---------|----------|-------------------------------|
| 1.0.0 | 26.05.16 | Initial release (Jaspal Mann) |

Coventry City Council
Equality and Consultation Analysis (ECA) Form

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| | | |
|--|--|--|

This page is intentionally left blank

Agenda Item 9

SCRUCO Work Programme 2017/18

Last updated 9/11/17

Please see page 2 onwards for background to items

| |
|--|
| 14th June 2017 (informal briefings) |
| Air Quality Community Safety Public Health |
| 12th July 2017 |
| Council Performance Report 2016/17 WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback |
| 6th September 2017 |
| City Centre Redevelopment including Friargate, City Centre South, Station Master Plan, Leisure Centre, 50m Pool Civic Visits to Dresden and Kiel |
| 20th September 2017 PM |
| Fire Safety in High-Rise Buildings |
| 18th October 2017 |
| WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback |
| 22nd November 2017 |
| WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback – verbal update Student Accommodation and University City Development Adult Services Community Based Preventative Support |
| 20th December 2017 |
| Council Plan progress April – September 2017 Taxi Licensing |
| Monday 8th January @ 1pm |
| WMP2020 – West Midlands Police Transformation Programme |
| 24th January 2018 |
| Homelessness WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback – verbal update |
| 28th February 2018 |
| - |
| 28th March 2018 |
| WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback |
| 18th April 2018 |
| - |
| Date to be determined |
| Selective Licensing for the Private Rented Sector – consultation outcomes (Priority – awaiting date confirmation) Vulnerable Persons Strategy Air Quality Prevent Strategy Renewal Plan |

| Date | Title | Detail | Cabinet Member/ Lead Officer |
|--|---|---|---|
| 14th June 2017 (informal briefings) | Air Quality | | |
| | Community Safety | | |
| | Public Health | | |
| 12th July 2017 | Council Performance Report 2016/17 | To consider the Council's performance report. To include, as recommended by SCRUCO in 2016/17, simple information on the reduction in funding imposed on the Council and the impact that this has had on performance. | Andy Baker/ Si Chun Lam |
| | WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback | Council representatives on the WMCA overview and scrutiny committee to feedback on the work of the committee | Cllr Brown Cllr J Mutton |
| 6th September 2017 | City Centre Redevelopment including Friargate, City Centre South, Station Master Plan, Leisure Centre, 50m Pool | A report on how several developments are interlinked and how they will support the regeneration of the city. Meeting to take place at Eaton House and a visit to Friargate. | David Cockroft David Nuttall Cllr O Boyle Cllr Welsh Cllr Duggins |
| | Civic Visits to Dresden and Kiel | Reports from the Lord Mayor on recent visits to Dresden and Kiel | No officers required |
| 20th September 2017 <u>PM</u> | Fire Safety in High-Rise Buildings | To discuss with the Fire Service and Whitefriars, Coventry University to consider fire prevention and safety for high-rise buildings | Andrew Walster Cllr Duggins WMFS Whitefriars Coventry University Council rep on outside bodies |
| 18th October 2017 | WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback | Council representatives on the WMCA overview and scrutiny committee to feedback on the work of the committee | Cllr Brown Cllr J Mutton |

SCRUCO Work Programme 2017/18

| Date | Title | Detail | Cabinet Member/ Lead Officer |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Local Policing | An item to cover issues including effectiveness of current PSPO's, Police and Crime Plan, rough sleepers, enforcement powers. | |
| | Emergency Planning and Resilience | To review the arrangements for emergency planning and resilience in the city to minimise risks, respond to emergencies, ensure effective communication and provide reassurance. | Michael Enderby Cllr Duggins |
| 22nd November 2017 | WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback – verbal update | Council representatives on the WMCA overview and scrutiny committee to feedback on the work of the committee | Cllr Brown Cllr J Mutton |
| | Student Accommodation and University City Development | To look at demand and supply of purpose built and private rented student accommodation and whether HIMO's have been returned to family use. Plans for CC1-4 site and the impact of Brexit on University finances. | Coventry University Craig Hickin Mark Andrews Cllr Bigham/O'Boyle |
| | Adult Services Community Based Preventative Support | This report is coming to SCRUCO prior to Cabinet on 28 th November. This will give SCRUCO to make recommendations for Cabinet ahead of the decision being finalised. | Michelle McGinty Cllr Abbott |
| 20th December 2017 | Council Plan progress April – September 2017 | Progress on the Council Plan report for 2017-18, which will go to Cabinet in January 2018 | Si Chun Lam Cllr Duggins |
| | Taxi Licensing | This item will explore the current issues around taxi licensing, prompted by the rise in the number of cabs operating in the City who are registered elsewhere and the development of new models of taxi businesses, including Uber. | Andrew Walster |
| Monday 8th January @ 1pm | WMP2020 – West Midlands Police Transformation Programme | An introduction to plans for local policing to 2020. | Cllr A Khan Acting Chief Superintendent Sharon Goosen Craig Hickin |
| 24th January 2018 | Homelessness | There has been a rise in homelessness, and this trend is expected to rise. This item will take a strategic look at the way | Andrew Walster/ John Gregg/ Pete Fahy/ Liz |

| Date | Title | Detail | Cabinet Member/ Lead Officer |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | the City addresses homelessness and identify whether there is a way resources can be used differently to prevent homelessness and reduce levels of homelessness and the number of people in temporary accommodation. Childrens' Services, Adult Services, Customer Services, Public Health and Revenues & Benefits will all be asked to contribute to the meeting, as well as the team with overall responsibility for Homelessness. | Gaulton/ Barry Hastie/ Customer Services |
| | WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback – verbal update | Council representatives on the WMCA overview and scrutiny committee to feedback on the work of the committee | Cllr Brown Cllr J Mutton |
| 28th February 2018 | - | | |
| | | | |
| 28th March 2018 | WMCA Overview and Scrutiny feedback | Council representatives on the WMCA overview and scrutiny committee to feedback on the work of the committee | Cllr Brown Cllr J Mutton |
| | | | |
| 18th April 2018 | - | | |
| | | | |
| Date to be determined | Selective Licensing for the Private Rented Sector – consultation outcomes (Priority – awaiting date confirmation) | To consider the outcomes of the consultation and recommendations to the Cabinet Member following a scrutiny task and finish group recommending implementation in St. Michael's Ward. | Davina Blackburn Tracy Miller Cllr O'Boyle |
| | West Midlands Combined Authority | To consider engagement with the West Midlands Combined Authority, particularly its Overview and Scrutiny Committee. Cllr | Cllr Duggins Martin Reeves |

| Date | Title | Detail | Cabinet Member/ Lead Officer |
|------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| | | Mutton and Cllr Brown are the City Council's nominated representatives on the Committee for 2017/18. | |
| | Business Rates | Members requested information on the current position on business rates retention and the WMCA pilot considering the Local Government Finance Bill was not in the Queen's Speech. This was received during July 2017. Once further information is available, the Board would like an agenda item on Business Rates. | Barry Hastie Paul Jennings Cllr J Mutton |
| | <i>Review of Scrutiny</i> | <i>To review the current Scrutiny procedures and to consider whether improvements could be made.</i> | <i>Adrian West Cllr Brown /Cllr Duggins</i> |
| | Vulnerable Persons Strategy | This will take a strategic approach to a range of issues affecting vulnerable people. It will incorporate the Domestic Violence and Abuse Strategy as well as addressing issues such as modern day slavery, hate crime, Female Genital Mutilation, forced marriage and sexual violence. This will provide Scrutiny with an early opportunity to review the new proposed approach. | Liz Gaulton/Craig Hickin Cllr A Khan |
| | Air Quality | Scruco will consider this issue which cuts across several areas including health, transportation, planning and public realm etc. The Board wishes to address issues and identify solutions. A potential task and finish group. | Liz Gaulton/Andrew Walster |
| | Prevent Strategy | To receive an update on the Prevent Strategy and duties associated with it. | Chief Superintendent Danny Long Geoff Thomas |
| | Renewal Plan | SCRUCO will invite WMCA Mayor, Andy Street, to discuss his renewal plan. | |

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank